

On $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields and differential operators

Sofiane Bouarroudj

Department of Mathematical Sciences, U.A.E. University, Faculty of Science, P.O. Box 17551, Al-Ain, U.A.E.

E-mail: bouarroudj.sofiane@uaeu.ac.ae

Received June 18, 2006; Accepted in Revised Form October 11, 2006

Abstract

Let $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$. The space of symbols $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$ admits a non-trivial deformation (given by differential operators on weighted densities) as a $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module that becomes trivial once the action is restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \subset \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$. The deformations of $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$, which become trivial once the action is restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and such that the $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -action on them is expressed in terms of differential operators, are classified by the elements of the weight basis of $H_{\text{diff}}^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$, where H_{diff}^i denotes the differential cohomology (i.e., we consider only cochains that are given by differential operators) and where $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu} = \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu)$ is the space of differential operators acting on weighted densities. The main result of this paper is computation of this cohomology. In addition to relative cohomology, we exhibit 2-cocycles spanning $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

1 Introduction

Notations. Let $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ be the space of weighted densities of degree λ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., the space of sections of the line bundle $(T^*\mathbb{R})^{\otimes \lambda}$, so its elements can be represented as $\phi(x)dx^\lambda$, where $\phi(x)$ is a function and dx^λ is a formal (for a time being) symbol. This space coincides with the space of vector fields, functions and differential forms for $\lambda = -1, 0$ and 1 , respectively. The Lie algebra $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ by the Lie derivative: we set

$$L_X^\lambda(\phi dx^\lambda) = (X(\phi) + \lambda \phi \text{div} X) dx^\lambda \text{ for any } X \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda. \quad (1.1)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \nu}$ the space of linear differential operators that act on the spaces of weighted densities:

$$A : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu. \quad (1.2)$$

The Lie algebra $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \nu}$ as follows. For any $X \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$, we set (here L_X^λ is the action (1.1)):

$$L_X^{\lambda, \mu}(A) = L_X^\mu \circ A - A \circ L_X^\lambda. \quad (1.3)$$

Motivations. This work has its genesis in the study of the $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$. Duval, Lecomte and Ovsienko showed [6, 13] that this space cannot be isomorphic, as a $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module, to the corresponding space of symbols of these operators but is its deformation in the sense of Richardson-Neijenhuis ([15]). As is well known, deformation theory of modules is closely related to the Lie algebra cohomology ([15]). More precisely, given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V ; the *infinitesimal* deformations of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V , i.e., deformations that are linear in the parameter of deformation, are described by the elements (up to proportionality) of $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))$. The obstructions to extension of any infinitesimal deformation to a formal one are similarly described by $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))$. Computation of H^1 in our situation (with $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ instead of $\text{End}(V)$) was carried out by Feigin and Fuchs [7]. Ovsienko and I computed the corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology (see [5]). Gordan's classification of bilinear differential operators on weighted densities [11] played a central role in our computation. Later, a generalization to multi-dimensional manifolds has been carried out by Lecomte and Ovsienko in [13]; for further results, see [4]. Note that the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology measures infinitesimal deformations that become trivial once the action is restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. This is actually the case for the space of differential operators since, as $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module, it is isomorphic to the space of symbols for generic λ and μ (cf. [9]). Let H_{diff}^i be the differential cohomology (i.e., we consider only cochains that are given by differential operators). Recently I realized that a description of (here $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields)

$$H_{\text{diff}}^2(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) \quad (1.4)$$

can be deduced from the work by Feigin and Fuchs [7]. Feigin-Fuchs gave details of computation of $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ but not of higher cohomology and no explicit 2-cocycles were provided. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology cannot, however, be deduced from their computation. Several authors (see, e.g., [14, 19]) have also studied $H^i(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{A})$ for an arbitrary $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module $\mathcal{A}$. But it is not easy to get a description of the cohomology (1.4) nor the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology from their results. Our main result is computation of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology and explicit expressions of 2-cocycles that span (1.4). This work is the first step towards the study of formal deformations of symbols.

For investigation of all deformations of symbols in case of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n > 1$, see [1]. The authors use the Neijenhuis-Richardson product to prove the existence of cocycles but do not compute any cohomology. The cohomology similar to (1.4) with $\mathbb{R}^n$ instead of $\mathbb{R}$ is still out of reach for $n > 1$.

2 Basic definitions

Consider the standard (local) action of $\text{SL}(2)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by linear-fractional transformations. Although the action is local, it generates global vector fields

$$\frac{d}{dx}, \quad x \frac{d}{dx}, \quad x^2 \frac{d}{dx},$$

that form a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ (cf. [16]). This realization of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is understood throughout this paper.

2.1 The Gelfand-Fuchs cocycle

We need to introduce the following cocycle (of Gelfand-Fuchs):

$$\omega(X, Y) = \begin{vmatrix} f' & g'' \\ f'' & g' \end{vmatrix} dx \quad \text{for } X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here ω is a cohomology class in $H^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_1)$. Related is the element of $H^2(\text{Vect}(S^1))$, the 2-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ given by the formula (see [10]):

$$\int_{S^1} \omega(X, Y).$$

This 2-cocycle generates the central extension of $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ called the *Virasoro algebra*.

3 The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$

The following steps to compute the relative cohomology has intensively been used in [3, 4, 5, 13]. First, we classify $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators, then we isolate among them those that are 2-cocycles. To do that, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Any 2-cocycle vanishing on the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant.*

Proof. The 2-cocycle condition reads as follows:

$$c([X, Y], Z, \phi dx^\lambda) - L_X^{\lambda, \mu} c(Y, Z, \phi dx^\lambda) + \circlearrowleft (X, Y, Z) = 0$$

for every $X, Y, Z \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$, where $\circlearrowleft (X, Y, Z)$ denotes the summands obtained from the two written ones by the cyclic permutation of the symbols X, Y, Z . Now, if $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, then the equation above becomes

$$c([X, Y], Z, \phi dx^\lambda) - c([X, Z], Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = L_X^{\lambda, \mu} c(Y, Z, \phi dx^\lambda).$$

This condition is nothing but the invariance property. ■

3.1 $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators

As our 2-cocycles vanish on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, we will investigate $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant bilinear differential operators that vanish on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

Proposition 1. *The space of skew-symmetric bilinear differential operators $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \wedge \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$, which are $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant and vanish on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, is as follows:*

1. It is $\frac{1}{2}(k-3)$ -dimensional if $\mu - \lambda = k$ and k is odd.
2. It is $\frac{1}{2}(k-4)$ -dimensional if $\mu - \lambda = k$ and k is even.
3. It is 0-dimensional, otherwise.

Proof. The generic form of any such a differential operator is (here $X = f \frac{d}{dx}$, $Y = g \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$c(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j+l \leq k} c_{i,j} f^{(i)} g^{(j)} \phi^{(l)} dx^\mu,$$

where $c_{i,j} = -c_{j,i}$ and $f^{(i)}$ stands for $\frac{d^i f}{dx^i}$.

The invariance property with respect to the vector field $X = x \frac{d}{dx}$ with arbitrary Y and Z implies that $c'_{i,j} = 0$ and $\mu = \lambda + i + j + l$. Therefore $c_{i,j}$ are constants. Now, the invariance property with respect $X = x^2 \frac{d}{dx}$ with arbitrary Y and Z is equivalent to the system (where $2 < \beta < \gamma < k$):

$$(\beta+1)(\beta-2) c_{\beta+1,\gamma} - (\gamma+1)(\gamma-2) c_{\gamma+1,\beta} + (k+2-\beta-\gamma)(k+1-\beta-\gamma+2\lambda) c_{\beta,\gamma} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For $\beta = 3$, the equation (3.1) implies that all the constants $c_{t,3}$ can be determined *uniquely* in terms of $c_{4,3}$ and $c_{4,s}$. More precisely,

$$c_{\gamma+1,3} = \frac{4 c_{4,\gamma} + (k-1-\gamma)(k-2-\gamma+2\lambda) c_{3,\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)(\gamma-2)}.$$

For $\beta = 4$ and $\gamma = 5$, and from the system (3.1), we have

$$c_{6,4} = \frac{1}{12} (k-7)(k-8+2\lambda) c_{4,5}.$$

Thus the constant $c_{6,4}$ is determined. But for $\beta = 4$ and $\gamma > 5$, the system (3.1) implies that

$$c_{5,\gamma} = \frac{1}{10} (\gamma+1)(\gamma-2) c_{\gamma+1,4} - \frac{1}{10} (k-\gamma-2)(k-\gamma-3+2\lambda) c_{4,\gamma}.$$

Therefore all $c_{5,\gamma}$ can be determined for any $\gamma \geq 6$.

By continuing this procedure we see that $c_{6,\gamma}, c_{7,\gamma}, \dots$ can be determined as well as $c_{4,\gamma}$ for γ even. Finally, we have proved that the space of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant operators is as follows:

(i) for k even, it is generated by $c_{4,3}, c_{4,5}, c_{4,7}, \dots, c_{4,k-3}$. The space of solution is $\frac{1}{2}(k-4)$ -dimensional.

(ii) for k odd, it is generated by $c_{4,3}, c_{4,5}, c_{4,7}, \dots, c_{4,k-2}$. The space of solution is $\frac{1}{2}(k-3)$ -dimensional. ■

3.2 The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -relative cohomology of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$H_{\text{diff}}^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \begin{cases} (\lambda, \mu) = (0, 5), (-2, 3), (-4, 1), (-\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) \text{ or} \\ \left(-\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}\right), \\ \mu - \lambda = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 \text{ for all } \lambda \neq \frac{1-k}{2}, \\ \mu - \lambda = k = 12, 13, 14 \text{ and } \lambda = \frac{1-k}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{12k-23}}{2}, \\ \mu - \lambda = k = 15 \text{ and } \lambda = \frac{1-k}{2}, \end{cases} \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = k = 7, \dots, 14 \text{ for } \lambda = \frac{1-k}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1. $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ has been computed in [5].

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Every 2-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ retains the following general form (here $X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$c(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j+l \leq k} c_{i,j} f^{(i)} g^{(j)} \phi^{(l)} dx^\mu, \quad (4.1)$$

where $c_{i,j} = -c_{j,i}$. Since this 2-cocycle vanishes on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, Lemma 1 implies that this 2-cocycle is $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant. Therefore all $c_{i,j}$ are zero and $i+j+l = \mu - \lambda$. The last statement means that the 2-cocycle (4.1) is homogenous. Besides, we have $c_{0,j} = c_{1,j} = c_{2,j} = 0$.

Before starting with the proof proper, we explain our strategy. This method has already been used in [3]. First, we investigate operators that belong to $Z^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$. The 2-cocycle condition imposes conditions on the constants $c_{i,j}$: we get a linear system for $c_{i,j}$. Second, taking into account these conditions, we eliminate all constants underlying coboundaries. Gluing these bits of information together we deduce that $\dim H^2$ is equal to the number of independent constants $c_{i,j}$ remaining in the expression of the 2-cocycle (4.1).

Proposition 2. ([11]) *There exist $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant bilinear differential operators $J_k^{\tau,\lambda} : \mathcal{F}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\lambda+k}$ given by:*

$$J_k^{\tau,\lambda}(\varphi dx^\tau, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j=k} \gamma_{i,j} \varphi^{(i)} \phi^{(j)} dx^{\tau+\lambda+k}, \quad (4.2)$$

where the constants $\gamma_{i,j}$ satisfy

$$(i+1)(i+2\tau) \gamma_{i+1,j} + (j+1)(j+2\lambda) \gamma_{i,j+1} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 2. The operators (4.2) are called *transvectants*. Amazingly, they appear in many contexts, especially in the computation of cohomology (cf. [3, 5]). We refer to [18] for their history.

Now we will study properties of the coboundaries. Let $B : \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ be an operator defined by (for any $X = f \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$B(X, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j=k+1} \gamma_{i,j} f^{(i)} \phi^{(j)} dx^{\lambda+k}.$$

Proposition 3. *Every coboundary $\delta(B) \in B^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ possesses the following properties. The operator B coincides (up to a nonzero factor) with the transvectant $J_{k+1}^{-1,\lambda}$, where $\gamma_{0,k+1} = \gamma_{1,k} = \gamma_{2,k-1} = 0$. In addition (here $X = f \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$),*

$$\delta(B)(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j+l=k+2} \beta_{i,j} f^{(i)} g^{(j)} \phi^{(l)} dx^{\lambda+k}, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\beta_{0,j} = \beta_{1,j} = \beta_{2,j} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{3,4} &= -\frac{1}{24} \binom{k-2}{3} (k^2 + 4(\lambda-1)\lambda + k(4\lambda-5)) (k-1+2\lambda) \gamma_{3,k-2} \\ \beta_{4,5} &= -\frac{1}{480} \binom{k-2}{5} (k-3+2\lambda)(k^3 + 4(\lambda-1)\lambda(2\lambda-19) + 3k^2(2\lambda-7) + 2k(49+6(\lambda-7)\lambda)) \\ &\quad \times (k-1+2\lambda) \gamma_{3,k-3}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the very definition of coboundaries, we have (for any $X, Y \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$\delta(B)(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = B([X, Y], \phi dx^\lambda) - L_X B(Y, \phi dx^\lambda) + L_Y B(X, \phi dx^\lambda).$$

The coboundary above vanishes on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. It means that if $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, we have

$$B([X, Y], \phi dx^\lambda) = L_X B(Y, \phi dx^\lambda) - L_Y B(X, \phi dx^\lambda).$$

Hence, the operator B is $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant; therefore it coincides with the transvectants. The conditions $\gamma_{0,k+1} = \gamma_{1,k} = \gamma_{2,k-1} = 0$ come from the fact that the operator B vanishes on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Now, the conditions $\beta_{0,j} = \beta_{1,j} = \beta_{2,j} = 0$ are consequences of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariance, while the values of $\beta_{3,4}$ and $\beta_{4,5}$ follow by a direct computation. ■

4.1 The case where $\mu - \lambda = 5$

In this case, the 2-cocycle has the form

$$c(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} f^{(3)} & g^{(3)} \\ f^{(4)} & g^{(4)} \end{vmatrix} \phi dx^{\lambda+5} \quad \text{for } X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (4.5)$$

The 2-cocycle condition is always satisfied. On the other hand, the coboundary (4.4) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{3} \lambda (2 + \lambda) (4 + \lambda) \gamma_{3,k-2} (g^{(3)} f^{(4)} - f^{(3)} g^{(4)}) \phi dx^{\lambda+5}.$$

This coboundary coincides with the 2-cocycle (4.5) except for $\lambda = 0, -2$ or -4 . Therefore the cohomology in Theorem 1 is trivial except for $\lambda = 0, -2$ or -4 .

4.2 The case where $\mu - \lambda = 6$

The 2-cocycle has the form

$$c(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \left(\begin{vmatrix} f^{(3)} & g^{(3)} \\ f^{(4)} & g^{(4)} \end{vmatrix} \phi' - \frac{\lambda}{5} \begin{vmatrix} f^{(3)} & g^{(3)} \\ f^{(5)} & g^{(5)} \end{vmatrix} \phi \right) dx^{\lambda+6} \quad \text{for } X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx}.$$

On the other hand, the coboundary (4.4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{3} (5 + 2\lambda) (3 + 2\lambda (5 + \lambda)) \gamma_{3,k-2} (g^{(3)} f^{(4)} - f^{(3)} g^{(4)}) \phi' dx^{\lambda+6} \\ & - \frac{1}{15} \lambda (5 + 2\lambda) (3 + 2\lambda (5 + \lambda)) \gamma_{3,k-2} (g^{(3)} f^{(5)} - f^{(3)} g^{(5)}) \phi dx^{\lambda+6}.\end{aligned}$$

This coboundary coincides with our 2-cocycle except when $\lambda = -\frac{5}{2}$ or λ is a solution to $3 + 2\lambda(5 + \lambda) = 0$.

4.3 The case where $\mu - \lambda \geq 7$

In this case, the 2-cocycle condition is equivalent to the system (where $2 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\binom{\alpha+\beta-1}{\alpha} - \binom{\alpha+\beta-1}{\alpha-1} \right) c_{\alpha+\beta-1,\gamma} - \left(\binom{\alpha+\gamma-1}{\alpha} - \binom{\alpha+\gamma-1}{\alpha-1} \right) c_{\alpha+\gamma-1,\beta} \\ & + \left(\binom{\beta+\gamma-1}{\beta} - \binom{\beta+\gamma-1}{\beta-1} \right) c_{\beta+\gamma-1,\alpha} + \left(\binom{k+2-\beta-\gamma}{\alpha} + \lambda \binom{k+2-\beta-\gamma}{\alpha-1} \right) c_{\beta,\gamma} \\ & - \left(\binom{k+2-\alpha-\gamma}{\beta} + \lambda \binom{k+2-\alpha-\gamma}{\beta-1} \right) c_{\alpha,\gamma} + \left(\binom{k+2-\alpha-\beta}{\gamma} + \lambda \binom{k+2-\alpha-\beta}{\gamma-1} \right) c_{\alpha,\beta} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

This system can be deduced by a simple computation. Of course, such a system has at least one solution in which the solutions $c_{i,j}$ are just the coefficients $\beta_{i,j}$ of the coboundaries (4.4).

4.3.1 The case where $\mu - \lambda = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$

Let us show that the solutions to the system (4.6) are expressed in terms of $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$.

In the case $\alpha = 2$, the system (4.6) has been studied in Section 3.1; its study corresponds to the investigation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators. We have seen that all the constants $c_{i,j}$ can be expressed in terms of $c_{3,4}, c_{5,4}, c_{7,4}, c_{9,4}, \dots$

For $k = 7$. According to Proposition 1, the space of solutions is generated by $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. Note that the coefficients $c_{4,i}$, where $i \geq 6$, are zero. The following coefficients can be deduced from the system (4.6):

$$c_{3,5} = \frac{1}{10}(5-k)(k-6+2\lambda) c_{3,4}, \quad c_{3,6} = \frac{1}{18}((6-k)(k-7+2\lambda) c_{3,5} - 4 c_{4,5}). \quad (4.7)$$

For $k = 8$. According to Proposition 1, the space of solutions is generated by $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. Moreover, the coefficients $c_{4,i}$, where $i \geq 7$, are zero. The solutions to (4.6) are given by (4.7) together with

$$c_{3,7} = \frac{1}{28}((7-k)(k+2(\lambda-4)) c_{3,6} - 4 c_{4,6}), \quad c_{4,6} = \frac{1}{18}(k-7)(k-8+2\lambda) c_{4,5}. \quad (4.8)$$

Now for $k = 9, 10$ and 11 we have to deal with the system (4.6) for $\alpha = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\binom{\beta+2}{3} - \binom{\beta+2}{2} \right) c_{\beta+2,\gamma} - \left(\binom{\gamma+2}{3} - \binom{\gamma+2}{2} \right) c_{\gamma+2,\beta} + \left(\binom{\gamma+\beta-1}{\beta} - \binom{\gamma+\beta-1}{\beta-1} \right) c_{\gamma+\beta-1,3} \\ & + \left(\binom{k+2-\beta-\gamma}{3} + \lambda \binom{k+2-\beta-\gamma}{2} \right) c_{\beta,\gamma} - \left(\binom{k-1-\gamma}{\beta} + \lambda \binom{k-1-\gamma}{\beta-1} \right) c_{3,\gamma} \\ & + \left(\binom{k-1-\beta}{\gamma} + \lambda \binom{k-1-\beta}{2} \right) c_{3,\beta} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta = 4$ and $\gamma = 5$, the coefficient $c_{4,7}$ is given by

$$c_{4,7} = \frac{1}{105840} \binom{k-7}{2} \left(\binom{k-5}{2} (2\lambda + k - 3) (-288 + k(194 + k(k-27)) + 268\lambda + 6(k-18)k\lambda + 12(k-9)\lambda^2 + 8\lambda^3) c_{3,4} - 80 c_{4,5} (279 + 2k^2 + \lambda(8\lambda - 113) + k(8\lambda - 49)) \right). \quad (4.9)$$

We continue like this until we determine all the constants $c_{4,k-3}$ for k even and $c_{4,k-2}$ for k is odd. Therefore the system (4.6) admits solutions generated by $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. Let us give explicitly these solutions.

For $k = 9$. The coefficients are given by (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) together with

$$c_{3,8} = \frac{1}{40}((8-k)(k-9+2\lambda)c_{3,7} - 4c_{4,7}), \quad c_{5,6} = \frac{1}{45} \binom{k-8}{2} \binom{k+2\lambda-7}{2} c_{3,4} - \frac{14}{5} c_{4,7}. \quad (4.10)$$

For $k = 10$. The coefficients are given by (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) together with

$$c_{3,9} = \frac{1}{54}((9-k)(k+2\lambda-10)c_{3,8} - 4c_{4,8}), \quad c_{5,7} = \frac{1}{10}(9-k)(k-10+2\lambda)c_{4,7} - 4c_{4,8}, \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$c_{4,8} = \frac{1}{20160}(9-k)(k+2(\lambda-5)) \times ((k-8)(k-7)(k+2(\lambda-4))(k-9+2\lambda)c_{3,4} + 10008c_{4,7}). \quad (4.12)$$

For $k = 11$. The coefficients are given by (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) together with

$$c_{3,10} = \frac{1}{70}((10-k)(k-11+2\lambda)c_{3,9} - 4c_{4,9}), \quad c_{5,8} = \frac{1}{10}(10-k)(k-11+2\lambda)c_{4,8} - \frac{27}{5}c_{4,9},$$

and

$$c_{6,7} = \frac{1}{45360}((k-10)(k-9)(k+2(\lambda-5))(k+2\lambda-11) \times ((k-8)(k-7)(k+2\lambda-8)(k+2\lambda-9)c_{3,4} + 756c_{7,4})) + 12c_{4,9}. \quad (4.13)$$

The explicit value of $c_{4,9}$ is too long; hereafter we omit such expressions obtained with the help of *Mathematica*.

We have just proved that the coefficients of every 2-cocycle is expressed in terms of the two constants $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. But this general formula may contain coboundaries. We explain how the coboundaries can be removed. Consider any coboundary given as in (4.4). We discuss the following cases:

1) $\lambda = \frac{1-k}{2}$. Then the constant $\beta_{3,4}$ and $\beta_{4,5}$ vanish simultaneously. Hence the constants $c_{4,5}$ and $c_{3,4}$ cannot be eliminated by adding the coboundary (4.4). It follows that the coefficients of the 2-cocycle are generated by $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. Therefore the cohomology is two-dimensional. The 2-cocycles are given explicitly by the constants (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) by taking $c_{3,4} = 1$ and $c_{4,5} = 0$ then by taking $c_{3,4} = 0$ and $c_{4,5} = 1$.

2) $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-k \pm \sqrt{1+3k})$. Then the constant $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated by adding the coboundary (4.4). On the other hand, the constant $c_{3,4}$ cannot be eliminated because $\beta_{3,4} = 0$. It follows that the coefficients of the 2-cocycle are generated by $c_{3,4}$. Therefore the cohomology is one-dimensional. The 2-cocycle is given explicitly by the constants (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) upon taking $c_{3,4} = 1$ and $c_{4,5} = 0$.

3) $\lambda = \frac{3-k}{2}$. First, we observe that there is no common solutions for λ in 2) and 3) except for $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 1$; or $\lambda = -1$ and $k = 1$. But these cases are not taken into consideration because $k \geq 7$. The constant $c_{3,4}$ can be eliminated by adding the coboundary (4.4). On the other hand, the constant $c_{4,5}$ cannot be eliminated because $\beta_{4,5} = 0$. It follows that the coefficients of the 2-cocycle are generated by $c_{4,5}$. Therefore the cohomology is one-dimensional. The 2-cocycle is given by the constants (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) upon taking $c_{3,4} = 0$ and $c_{4,5} = 1$.

4) λ is a solution to the equation

$$k^3 + 4(\lambda-1)\lambda(2\lambda-19) + 3k^2(2\lambda-7) + 2k(49+6(\lambda-7)\lambda) = 0.$$

In this case, $c_{3,4}$ can be eliminated by adding the coboundary (4.4). On the other hand, the constant $c_{4,5}$ cannot be eliminated as $\beta_{4,5} = 0$. It follows that the coefficients of the 2-cocycle are generated by $c_{4,5}$. Therefore the cohomology is one-dimensional. The coefficients of the 2-cocycle are given by constants as above upon taking $c_{3,4} = 0$ and $c_{4,5} = 1$.

5) λ is not like 1)–4). In this case, whatever the weight λ is, one of the constant $c_{3,4}$ or $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated by adding the coboundary. It follows that the cohomology is one-dimensional. The coefficients of the 2-cocycle are given by the constants as above upon taking, for instance, $c_{3,4} = 1$ and $c_{4,5} = 0$.

4.3.2 The case where $\mu - \lambda = 12, 13, 14$

Let us prove that the system (4.6) has solutions that can be expressed in terms of one parameter if λ is generic, and in terms of two parameters for particular values of λ . But we have already seen in the previous section that all the solutions can be expressed in terms of $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{3,5}$. As $k \geq 12$, we are required to study (4.6) for $\alpha = 4$. For $\alpha = 4, \beta = 5$ and $\gamma = 6$, the system has one more equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{k-7}{5} (2\lambda + k - 1) (k^2 + 2k(2\lambda - 7) + 4(6 + (-1 + \lambda)\lambda)) \times \\ & [(k-5)k((k-14)(k-7)(k-6)(k-3)c_{3,4} - 400c_{4,5}) \\ & + 4((k-6)(k-5)(-57 + k(131 + 2(-18 + k)k))c_{3,4} - 400(k-1)c_{4,5})\lambda \\ & + 4((k-6)(k-5)(101 + 6(k-12)k)c_{3,4} - 400c_{4,5})\lambda^2 \\ & + 32(k-6)^2(k-5)c_{3,4}\lambda^3 + 16(k-6)(k-5)c_{3,4}\lambda^4] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

We have three cases:

1) If $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}(1 - k)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(1 - k \pm \sqrt{12k - 23})$, then from Eq. (4.14) the constant $c_{4,5}$ can be expressed in terms of $c_{3,4}$. Here we have two subcases:

1.1) If $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 - k \pm \sqrt{1 + 3k})$, then Eq. (4.14) implies that $c_{3,4} = 0$. The constant $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated by adding the coboundary (4.4) for a suitable $\gamma_{3,k-2}$. Therefore the cohomology is zero.

1.2) If $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}(1 - k \pm \sqrt{1 + 3k})$, then Eq. (4.14) implies that $c_{4,5}$ can be determined in terms of $c_{3,4}$. We omit here the explicit expression because it is too long.

The constant $c_{3,4}$ can be eliminated upon adding the coboundary (4.4) for a suitable $\gamma_{3,k-2}$. Therefore the cohomology is zero.

2) If $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 - k \pm \sqrt{12k - 23})$, then the system (4.6) has solutions that still depend on $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. Now, the coboundary (4.4) can be added in order to eliminate the constant

$c_{3,4}$. The constants are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{3,11} &= \frac{1}{88}((11-k)(k+2\lambda-12)c_{3,10} - 4c_{4,10}), & c_{3,4} &= 0, \\
c_{3,13} &= \frac{1}{130}((13-k)(k+2\lambda-14)c_{3,12} - 4c_{4,12}), & c_{5,9} &= \frac{1}{10}(k-11)(k+2\lambda-12)c_{9,4} \\
& & & + 7c_{10,4}, \\
c_{5,10} &= \frac{1}{10}(k-12)(k+2\lambda-13)c_{10,4} + \frac{44}{5}c_{11,4}, & c_{5,11} &= \frac{1}{10}(k-13)(k+2\lambda-14)c_{11,4} \\
& & & + \frac{54}{5}c_{12,4}, \\
c_{6,8} &= \frac{1}{18}(63c_{9,5} - (k-12)(k-11+2\lambda)c_{5,8}), & c_{6,9} &= \frac{1}{18}((-k+13)(k-12+2\lambda)c_{5,9}) \\
& & & + \frac{40}{9}c_{10,5}, \\
c_{6,10} &= \frac{1}{18}(99c_{11,5} - (k-14)(k-13+2\lambda)c_{5,10}), & c_{7,8} &= \frac{1}{14}(35c_{10,6} - (k-13)c_{6,9}), \\
c_{7,9} &= \frac{1}{28}(54c_{9,6} - (k-12)(k-11+2\lambda)c_{6,8}), & c_{11,4} &= 0, \\
c_{3,12} &= \frac{1}{108}((12-k)(k+2\lambda-13)c_{3,11} - 4c_{4,11}), & c_{4,5} &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we omit the expressions of $c_{10,4}$ and $c_{12,4}$ as they are too long.

3) If $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-k)$, then the cohomology is two-dimensional.

4.3.3 The case where $\mu - \lambda \geq 15$

Let us prove that the system (4.6) has solutions that depend on one parameter for all λ . We have seen in the previous section that the solutions to the system (4.6) depend on one parameter if λ is generic and on two parameters if $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-k)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(1-k \pm \sqrt{12k-23})$. But here $k \geq 15$; we have to study (4.6) for $\alpha = 5$. For $\alpha = 5, \beta = 6$ and $\gamma = 7$, the system (4.6) has one more equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\binom{10}{5} - \binom{10}{4} \right) c_{10,7} - \left(\binom{11}{5} - \binom{11}{4} \right) c_{11,6} + \left(\binom{12}{6} - \binom{12}{5} \right) c_{12,5} + \left(\binom{k-11}{5} + \lambda \binom{k-11}{4} \right) c_{6,7} \\
& - \left(\binom{k-10}{6} + \lambda \binom{k-10}{5} \right) c_{5,7} + \left(\binom{k-9}{7} + \lambda \binom{k-9}{6} \right) c_{5,6} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

1) For $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-k)$, Eq. (4.15) implies that the constant $c_{4,5}$ is expressed in terms of $c_{3,4}$. Once more we omits its explicit expression. If $k = 15$, then $c_{3,4}$ generates the system and consequently the cohomology is one-dimensional since $\beta_{3,4} = \beta_{4,5} = 0$. If $k > 15$, then the system (4.6) adds another condition that implies $c_{3,4} = 0$. Therefore the cohomology is zero.

2) For $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-k \pm \sqrt{12k-23})$, we proceed as before. The cohomology is zero. ■

Remark 3. The study of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators over polynomial vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$, $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R})$, or over smooth vector fields on the circle, $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, (in the case of $\mathbb{S}^1$ we express such operators in an affine coordinate) is identical with the study of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators over $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, Theorem 1 remains true whether for $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ or $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ since its proof is based on the classification of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -invariant differential operators.

5 Explicit 2-cocycles for $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$

The following cohomology was computed by Lecomte [12]:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{sl}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (\frac{1-k}{2}, \frac{1+k}{2}), \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The 2-cocycle that spans this cohomology is given by (here ω is the Gelfand-Fuchs cocycle (2.1)):

$$\Omega(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \omega(X, Y) \phi^{(k-1)} dx^{\frac{1+k}{2}}.$$

The following cohomology can be deduced from the work of Feigin-Fuchs [7] (where $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields) :

$$H^2(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \begin{cases} (\mu, \lambda) = (1, 0), \\ \mu - \lambda = 2, 3, 4 \text{ for all } \lambda, \\ \mu - \lambda = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 \text{ for all } \lambda, \\ \mu - \lambda = k = 12, 13, 14 \text{ but } \lambda \text{ is either } \frac{1-k}{2}, \\ \text{or } \frac{1-k}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{12k-23}}{2}, \end{cases} \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \begin{cases} (\lambda, \mu) = (0, 5) \text{ or } (-4, 1), \\ (\lambda, \mu) = \left(-\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}\right), \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

The 2-cocycles spanning (5.1) for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6 are as follows (here $X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx}$):

(i) For $(\lambda, \mu) = (0, 1)$, the 2-cocycle is given by

$$\Omega_1(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \omega(X, Y) \phi dx^\lambda. \quad (5.2)$$

(ii) For $\mu - \lambda = 2$, the 2-cocycle is given by

$$\Omega_2(X, Y) = c_{1,2} \omega(X, Y) \frac{d}{dx} + c_{1,3} \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f''' & g''' \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $c_{1,2} = 1$ and $c_{1,3} = 0$ for $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$; whereas $c_{1,2} = 0$ and $c_{1,3} = 1$ for $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{2}$.

(iii) For $\mu - \lambda = 3$, the 2-cocycle is given by

$$\Omega_3(X, Y) = c_{1,2} \omega(X, Y) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + c_{1,3} \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f''' & g''' \end{vmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (c_{1,2} - c_{1,3}) \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f^{(4)} & g^{(4)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $c_{1,2} = 1$ and $c_{1,3} = 0$ for $\lambda = -1$; whereas $c_{1,2} = 0$ and $c_{1,3} = 1$ for $\lambda \neq -1$.

(iv) For $\mu - \lambda = 4$, the 2-cocycle is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_4(X, Y) &= c_{1,2} \omega(X, Y) \frac{d^3}{dx^3} + \frac{1}{2} ((1 + 2\lambda)c_{1,3} - (1 + 3\lambda)c_{1,2}) \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f^{(4)} & g^{(4)} \end{vmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} \\ &+ c_{1,3} \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f''' & g''' \end{vmatrix} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\lambda}{10} ((1 - 3\lambda)c_{1,2} + (1 + 2\lambda)c_{1,3}) \begin{vmatrix} f' & g' \\ f^{(5)} & g^{(5)} \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where $c_{1,3} = 0$ and $c_{1,2} = 1$ for $\lambda = -\frac{3}{2}$; whereas $c_{1,3} = 1$ and $c_{1,2} = 0$ for $\lambda \neq -\frac{3}{2}$.

(v) For $\mu - \lambda = 5$, the two 2-cocycles are given by (where α and β are constants):

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_5(X, Y) = & 3\alpha(1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda) \omega(X, Y) \frac{d^4}{dx^4} + 2\alpha(1 + 3\lambda + 6\lambda^2) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(3)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(3)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d^3}{dx^3} \\ & + 3\alpha(1 + \lambda)(1 + 4\lambda) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(4)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(4)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{5}\alpha\lambda(1 + 9\lambda) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(6)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(6)} \end{array} \right| \\ & + \beta \left| \begin{array}{c} f''' \\ f^{(4)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g''' \\ g^{(4)} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

(vi) For $\mu - \lambda = 6$, the two 2-cocycles are given by (where α and β are constants):

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_6(X, Y) = & \alpha(4 + 3\lambda(5 + 2\lambda)) \omega(X, Y) \frac{d^5}{dx^5} + 5\alpha(2 + \lambda(4 + 3\lambda)) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(3)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(3)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \\ & + 5\alpha(\lambda(3 + 4\lambda) - 2) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(4)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(4)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d^3}{dx^3} + 5\alpha(2 + \lambda(4 + 3\lambda)) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(5)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(5)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \\ & + \beta \left| \begin{array}{c} f^{(3)} \\ f^{(4)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g^{(3)} \\ g^{(4)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d}{dx} + \alpha(4 + 15\lambda + 6\lambda^2) \left| \begin{array}{c} f' \\ f^{(6)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g' \\ g^{(6)} \end{array} \right| \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{\lambda}{5}\beta \left| \begin{array}{c} f^{(3)} \\ f^{(5)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g^{(3)} \\ g^{(5)} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

In order to complete the list of 2-cocycles spanning (5.1) we need the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Every 2-cocycle in $H^2(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$ can be reduced to a 2-cocycle vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, except those given in (5.2) – (5.7).*

Proof. Consider a general form of a 2-cocycle (where $X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$c(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j+l=k+2} c_{i,j} f^{(i)} g^{(j)} \phi^{(l)} dx^{\lambda+k}. \quad (5.8)$$

We will eliminate coboundaries in order to turn the 2-cocycle above into a 2-cocycle vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Consider a general expression of a coboundary

$$\begin{aligned} \delta B(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = & -\beta_0 f g' \phi^{(k+1)} - \beta_0 \left(\binom{k+1}{\alpha} + \lambda \binom{k+1}{\alpha-1} \right) f g^{(\alpha)} \phi^{(k+2-\alpha)} \\ & - \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} \beta_1 \left(\binom{k}{\alpha} + \lambda \binom{k}{\alpha-1} \right) f' g^{(\alpha)} \phi^{(k+1-\alpha)} + \text{higher order terms} \\ & - (f \leftrightarrow g). \end{aligned}$$

Immediately we see that the constant $c_{0,1}$ can be eliminated upon putting $c_{0,1} = -\beta_0$. On the other hand, the 2-cocycle condition implies that $c_{\gamma,0} = -c_{0,1} \left(\binom{k+1}{\gamma} + \lambda \binom{k+1}{\gamma-1} \right)$.

1) For $k = 1$, the 2-cocycle takes the form

$$\Omega_1(X, Y, \phi) = c_{1,2} \omega(X, Y) \phi.$$

On the other hand, the coboundary takes the form

$$\delta B(X, Y, \phi) = \lambda \alpha_1 \omega(X, Y) \phi,$$

where α_1 is a constant. The 2-cocycle is trivial except for $\lambda = 0$.

2) For $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, we proceed as before.

Suppose now that $k > 6$. We will deal with the coefficients $c_{1,\gamma}$. The 2-cocycle condition implies that the component of $f' g^\beta h^\gamma \phi^{k+2-\beta-\gamma}$, which should be zero, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{\beta+\gamma-1,1} \left(\binom{\beta+\gamma-1}{\beta} - \binom{\beta+\gamma-1}{\beta-1} \right) - c_{1,\gamma} \left(\binom{k+1-\gamma}{\beta} + \lambda \binom{k+1-\gamma}{\beta-1} \right) \\ & + c_{1,\beta} \left(\binom{k+1-\beta}{\gamma} + \lambda \binom{k+1-\beta}{\gamma-1} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

We have two cases:

i) For $\lambda = \frac{1-k}{2}$. In this case, the coefficient of $f' g'' \phi^{k-1}$ is zero in the expression of the coboundary. But $c_{1,3}$ can be eliminated upon putting $c_{1,3} = \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(k-2+3\lambda) \beta_1$. By putting $\beta = 2$, we can see from (5.9) that all $c_{t,1}$ can be expressed in terms of $c_{1,2}$. They are given by the induction formula:

$$c_{1,i} = \frac{2}{i-3} \left(-c_{1,i-1} \left(\binom{k+2-i}{2} + \lambda \binom{k+2-i}{1} \right) + c_{1,2} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + \lambda \binom{k-1}{i-2} \right) \right) \quad \text{for } i > 3. \quad (5.10)$$

However, for $\beta = 3$ and $\gamma = 4$ the system (5.9) becomes

$$\binom{k-1}{4} (1+k)(1+3k) c_{1,2} = 0.$$

As $k > 4$, the equation above admits a solution only for $c_{1,2} = 0$. Thus, all $c_{1,\gamma}$ are zero.

ii) If $\lambda \neq \frac{1-k}{2}$, then the constant $c_{1,2}$ can be eliminated and we proceed as before.

Now we deal with the coefficients $c_{2,s}$. These coefficients can be eliminated upon taking

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{s+1,k-s-1} &= \frac{1}{(s+1)(s-2)} (c_{2,s} + (k-s)(k-s-1+2\lambda) \beta_{s,k-s}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(s+1)(s-2)} \left(-2 \left(\binom{k-2}{s} + \lambda \binom{k-2}{s-1} \right) \beta_{2,k-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the remaining 2-cocycle vanishes on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. ■

Lemma 3. *Every coboundary $\delta(B) \in B^2(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ possesses the following properties. The operator B coincides (up to a nonzero factor) with the transvectant $J_{k+1}^{-1,\lambda}$, where $\gamma_{0,k+1} = \gamma_{1,k} = 0$. In addition (here $X = f \frac{d}{dx}, Y = g \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$)*

$$\delta(B)(X, Y, \phi dx^\lambda) = \sum_{i+j+l=k+2} \beta_{i,j} f^{(i)} g^{(j)} \phi^{(l)} dx^{\lambda+k}, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\beta_{0,j} = \beta_{1,j} = \beta_{2,j} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{3,4} &= \frac{1}{24} \binom{k-2}{3} (k^2 + 4(\lambda-1)\lambda + k(4\lambda-5)) ((k-1)(k-2+3\lambda)\gamma_{2,k-1} - (k-1+2\lambda)\gamma_{3,k-2}) \\ \beta_{4,5} &= -\frac{1}{480} \binom{k-2}{5} (k-3+2\lambda)(k^3 + 4(\lambda-1)\lambda(2\lambda-19) + 3k^2(2\lambda-7) + 2k(49+6(\lambda-7)\lambda)) \\ &\quad \times ((k-1)(k-2+3\lambda)\gamma_{2,k-1} - (k-1+2\lambda)\gamma_{3,k-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similar to Proposition 3. ■

Now we will explain how we can deduce the explicit expressions of the 2-cocycles that span $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$ by using the results of Sec. 4.3. To save space, we give details of the computation only for $\mu - \lambda = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$. The other cases, namely $\mu - \lambda = 12, 13, 14$, can be deduced by the same way. We start with any 2-cocycle $c \in Z^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. This is actually possible, thanks to Lemma 2. The 2-cocycle condition of c has already been studied Sec. 4.3.1. The 2-cocycle c is generated by the two constants $c_{3,4}$ and $c_{4,5}$. We have the following cases:

1) $\lambda = \frac{1-k}{2}$. By Lemma 3, one of the constants $c_{3,4}$ or $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated by adding a coboundary with an appropriate value of $\gamma_{2,k-2}$. We obtain, therefore, a unique 2-cocycle that is non-trivial in $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

2) $\lambda = \frac{2-k}{3}$. By Lemma 3, one of the constants $c_{3,4}$ or $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated by adding a coboundary with an appropriate value of $\gamma_{3,k-3}$. We obtain, therefore, a unique 2-cocycle that is non-trivial in $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

3) λ is a solution to the equation

$$k^2 + 4(\lambda - 1)\lambda + k(4\lambda - 5) = 0.$$

Then $\beta_{3,4} = 0$. Therefore the constant $c_{4,5}$ can be eliminated with an appropriate value of $\gamma_{2,k-1}$. We obtain, therefore, a unique 2-cocycle that is non-trivial in $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

4) λ is a solution to the equation

$$(k - 3 + 2\lambda)(k^3 + 4(\lambda - 1)\lambda(2\lambda - 19) + 3k^2(2\lambda - 7) + 2k(49 + 6(\lambda - 7)\lambda)) = 0.$$

Then $\beta_{4,5} = 0$. Therefore the constant $c_{3,4}$ can be eliminated with an appropriate value of $\gamma_{2,k-1}$. We obtain, therefore, a unique 2-cocycle that is non-trivial in $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

5) If λ is not as in 1)–4). Whatever the value of λ is the constant $c_{3,4}$ can be eliminated with an appropriate value of $\gamma_{2,k-1}$. We obtain, therefore, a unique 2-cocycle that is non-trivial in $H^2(\text{Vect}_P(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

5.1 Further remarks

It would be interesting to study the cohomology arising in the deformation of symbols at the group level, $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$. We do not know whether our 2-cocycles introduced here can be integrated to the group. Nevertheless, the 2-cocycle (5.2) can be integrated to a 2-cocycle $A \in H^2(\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+1})$ (here $F, G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$):

$$A(F, G, \phi dx^\lambda) := \log(F \circ G)' \frac{G''}{G'} \phi dx^{\lambda+1}.$$

This 2-cocycle is just the multiplication operator by the well-know Bott-Thurston cocycle [2]. Let $S(f) := \frac{f''''}{f''} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2$ be the Schwarz derivative. Then the 2-cocycle (4.5) can be integrated to $B \in H^2(\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}), \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+5})$:

$$B(F, G, \phi dx^\lambda) := \begin{vmatrix} G^* S(F) & S(F) \\ G^* S(F)' & S(F)' \end{vmatrix} \phi dx^{\lambda+5}.$$

This 2-cocycle is also the multiplication operator by a 2-cocycle introduced by Ovsienko-Roger [17].

It would also be interesting to study the cohomology arising in the context of deformation of the space of symbols on multi-dimensional manifolds.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank M. Ben Ammar, D. Leites, V. Ovsienko and J. Stasheff for their suggestions and remarks.

References

- [1] Agrebaoui B, Ammar F, Lecomte P and Ovsienko V, Multi-parameter deformations of the module of symbols of differential operators. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, no. 16, (2002), 847–869.
- [2] Bott R, On the characteristic classes of groups of diffeomorphisms, *Enseign. Math.* **23:3-4**, (1977), 209–220.
- [3] Bouarroudj S, Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebras on $\mathbb{RP}^1$ acting on bilinear differential operators, *Int. Jour. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys.* **2**, no. 1, (2005), 23–40.
- [4] Bouarroudj S, Projective and conformal Schwarzian derivatives and cohomology of Lie algebras vector fields related to differential operators, *Int. Jour. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys.* **3**, no. 4, (2006), 667–696.
- [5] Bouarroudj S and Ovsienko V, Three cocycles on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ generalizing the Schwarzian derivative, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, no. 1, 1998, 25–39.
- [6] Duval C and Ovsienko V, Conformally equivariant quantum Hamiltonians. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **7**, no. 3, (2001), 291–320.
- [7] Feigin B L and Fuchs D B, Homology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line, *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 14 (1980), 201–212.
- [8] Fuchs D B, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Contemp. Soviet. Math., Consultants Bureau, New-York, 1986.
- [9] Gargoubi H, Sur la géométrie de l'espace des opérateurs différentiels linéaires sur $\mathbb{R}$. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège*. Vol. 69, 1, (2000), 21–47.
- [10] Gelfand G F and Fuchs D B, Cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle, *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **2:4**, (1968), 342–343.
- [11] Gordan P, *Invariantentheorie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1887.
- [12] Lecomte P B A, On the cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ acting on differential operators and $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant symbols, *Indag. Math. NS*. 11 (1), (2000), 95–114.
- [13] Lecomte P B A and Ovsienko V, Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebra and modules of differential operators on a smooth manifold, *Compositio Mathematica*. **124**: no.1, 2000, 95–110.
- [14] Losik M V, Cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields with nontrivial coefficients, *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **6**, (1972), 289–291.

-
- [15] Nijenhuis A and Richardson R W, Deformation of homomorphisms of Lie algebras, Bull. AMS, **73** (1967) 175–179.
 - [16] Olver P, Applications of Lie groups to differential equations. Springer, 1993.
 - [17] Ovsienko V and Roger C, Generalization of Virasoro group and Virasoro algebra through extensions by modules of tensor-densities on S^1 . *Indag. Math., (N.S.)*, **9**, no.2, (1998), 277–288.
 - [18] Ovsienko V and Tabachnikov S, *Projective differential geometry old and new: from the Schwarzian derivative to cohomology of diffeomorphism groups*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
 - [19] Tsujishita T, On the continuous cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, A 53, N. 4, (1977), 134–138.